

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/689,233	LOW ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Asok K. Sarkar	2891	

All Participants:

(1) Asok K. Sarkar.

(2) James C. Kesterson.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 26 April 2005

Time: ~ 4 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Applicant's representative was informed that his election of claims 18, 24, 38 and 44 as Species I claims were erroneous. He was suggested to elect claims 1 - 8 and 9 - 16 as Species I claims and he agreed.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Asok Kumar Sarkar
 (Examiner/SPE Signature) 4/27/05

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)